whis aut, P.M. III, 55

3/22/70

The Admiral Burkley file

In response to a request of the Archivist for this file, I was sent:

CE 1126, which is not marked as part of his file, 9pp.

. CD3, Berger Statement, 11/30, 2pp.

- CD3m Stout statement, 11/29, 1 p.

CD3, Kellermen stetement, KexlermenxEtstement; 11/29, 4 pp

· CD3, Kellerman statement, 11/30/2pp . CD3, Hill statement, 4 pp, 11/30

CD3, Hill statement, 4 pp, 11/30 CD3, Lendie statement, 11/30, 7 pp

\* CD3, Attachment 2, proposed AF1 manifest, Ft Worth-Dallas

. CD3, Lawson statement, 12/1,5 pp.

. CD5:8-10

. CD5:150-1

None of these is new to me. The nost casual examination shows this is hardly an appropriate or complete file for an Admiral Burkley file or for the file of the President's Physician. Among the obvious missing things, from the amateurish concept of these files, is the Sibert-O'Reill report, ws well as other things I have recently obtained. This makes one wonder why, at the very early date of the organization of this file, it was made into a nothingness. One also wonders why he prepared no statement until 11/27/63, five days after the tragedy. His medical and his military experience both should have told him there was immediate need for recording his observations and knowledge, as well as what he was told, what he heard and overheard, especially the account of the autopsy that would be appropriate for the man in his function.

However, because of what I've learned since first seeing this material, all in the numbered files, in 1966, I decided to go over it again. Also, in the light of what has been learned in more than three years, because it seems so very strange that the professional investigators, the Secret Service, neither on their own nor under instructions (from this record), prepared any kind of written statement until at least a week had passed.

This need not suggest a memouth conspiracy, but it is proof of a permesting unprofessionalism among professionals and an inconceivable sloppiness, for I do assume there were no earlier statements that have been disposed of (although I'd be surprised if no single SS agent hadn't made fairly comprehensive notes). What is surprising is that there seems to have been no SS order to each agent to make usch note the first moment his duties permitted, or that each wasn't called in and questioned, and taped, again as soon as possible.

It takes Burkley two wide-margined, double-spaced pages to say he was aware something happened at Dealey plaze, but he didn't know what, and that he got to the mospital, stopping first at the Trade Mart, about 3-5 minutes after the President (which seems unlikely, given what he says he did at the TM). Mrs. Kennedy was seated outside the emergency room when he got there. What he next says—and doesn't say, is quite interesting:

"I immediately entered the room, went to the head of the table and viewed the President. It was evident that death was imminent and that he was in a hopeless condition".

Aside from a meager account of the medical procedures, which tend to date his arrival, the was being given extra cerdiac massage" (probably dictated and not read, meaning "extrenal" cardiac massage, the President's physician

here succeeds in a major achievement for a man with his assignment: saying absolutely nothing. Without doubt he "viewed the President", and from the best possible aspect, "the head of the table". But what did he see? Nothing, if his statement is to be believed, for he says absolutely nothing. From that point he could not have missed two crucial things at the very least, and things he knew to be crucial well before the five-day lapse in writing this "report". He had to have seem the massive head wound and he had to have seen both the anterior nexk wound and what was being done. Therefore, and knowing the great significance of all of this, he says nothing in his report. From his training, knowledge and experience, he knew, immediately, "that he was in a hopeless condition". What better reason for not saying why in his official report?

These things foster wonderment that the Secret Service (and the FBI) didn't immediately abstract what knowledge he had, what observations he made. And they highlight the Warren Commission failure to take his testimony, in itself incredible. That Specter and the rest of the staff and the members of the Commission could without question be content with absolutely nothing from the President's own physician is a remarkable self -indictment. If they didn't think of asking him for the missing details and an explanation they could accept for them being missing, then they establish the vacuuity of their entire investigation. In any event, this establishes that very early in the Commission's life there was a decision not to learn what really happened and to avoid the most obvious, authoritative and accessible sources.

Yet this is the total content of the Burkley statement on the medical reasons for the loss of his patient, his total comment on what cause or could have caused transmired deat, the sum total of his contribution to the solution of the crime.

"...brought Mrs. Kennedy inside the door where she stood with my arms protecting her, she momentarily rested her head on my should."

The agony and the ecstacy!

But no essessin was going to get her, deep inside farkland Hospital, no villain was going to slip past all those stalwart Secret Service agents, those devoted Dallas policemen and do her herm for there was George Burkley, Physician to thenPresident of the United States, Admiral of the Nevy and all that, "with my arms protecting her" from these assorted fates all worse than death. he says so himself. Is his word less probative than that of an Admiral in the Queen's Navy?

In a different end entirely non-medical context, Burkley reveals that the upper portion of the President's body had been stripped, as we know from o her things, the smirt having been removed and because he wasn't wearing an undershirt. "The upper portion of his body was covered with a white cloth." Thus we know that if there had been any wound in the upper anterior torso many people had a chance to see it.

He also involves mimself in the business of kidnepping the corpse:

"Mrs. Kennedy...expressed her desire to remain with the kxxxy
President's body continuously until he was returned to the White House".

So, Derring-Do George "stepped to someone (sic) and asked for the Superintendent of the hospital with a Secret Service Agent ordered him to get with dispatch a conveyence and a casket to carry the body back to washington."

This does not mean he panned taking the corpse down The Lonesome Trail with a mule team. ) Page 4)

He asked Clark to get "the necessary papers and to expedite departure of the body from that area", hardly the requests to be addressed to a mere neurosurgeon after the crime of the century. Here Rose (never identified by name) "came in" and Burkley "explained to him the necessity of quich action". If he explained it to the medical exeminer, he did not to history, for the necessity os not indicated. Rose said homicides have to go through those procedures prescribed by law, but the law does not relate to the President's physician:"I infirmed him again that it was essential that we have him moved immediately as Mrs. Kennedy was going to stay where she was until such movement was effected." (Did ever a man so loudly demand he be considered a conspirator?) There is no break in the quote here:" With some difficulty, one of the local judges appeared (and all the time I thought he had no difficulty getting there, having that Brwon Buick of which Manchester wrote so elaborately), or maybe he was arthritic? Had a broken leg?) and I asked him to make the necessary arrangements". Again no break in rubbing, the confusion being uniquely Burkley's: "After having called the local sheriff on the phone, some confusion resulted in this delay." Confusion is no exaggeration. Why called Decker? How did that, not either the law or the Bukkley & Co. desire to ignore the law, cause "this delay"-and what delay is this delay?

By now it should be wondered how the President survived a sneeze!

Burkley "supervised the transfer and the room was wacsted". We can assume what he does not say, the transfer from stretcher to casket. With the room already vacated, as Burkley put it, and already "Mrs. Kennedy proceeded to follow the casket", Doughty George her constant companioon, fro, wis accounting, but, "At this point I again exemined the masket room and they had cleaned the room. The roses...were in the wastebasket...two roses...lying on the floor". Never let it be said that the Fresident's physician never wearixen's understood where his true obligations lay, where his duties took him, what he had to "examine the room" for not less then the second time. Not for such trivialities as evidence, murder being below consideration; not to wonder why the President's protectors hadn't searched it for evidence before it was cleaned. His second search of the room puts us and history oermanently in his debt, for he had the rare foresight to ouck those two errant boses up and "put them in my pocket" (5). Selfless, Doctor George. He gave them to Jackie. So why should anyone wonder about that nasty ol' Bullet 399 and where it came from, or whether there were fragments of lead on the stretcher covering, Dutiful George had remember Happocrates as no doctor before him, had picked up those two roses (careful not to get common, plebian roses from the wastebasket, for Mrs. Kennedy, eschewing the tawdry, the unessential, the medically and legally insignificant. Two roses, from the floor, there we have the need for a second #examination" of that room!

He, Clint Hill and possibly others he doesn't recall were in the back of the ambulance with her to the airport. They "rode in silence for a while" but what they said when they brokem their silence is not related.

"During the course of the flight, determination of the immediate action on arrival in Washington was made to assure complete compliance with

Mrs. Kennedy's wishes" (page 6). Here we get the real nitty gritty, those legally important details (no histus): "I spoke to her while kneeling on the floor so I would be at the level of her face rather than leaning forward (sic) ... stating that it was necessary that the President be taken to a hospital prior to going to the White House. She questioned why and I stated it must be determined, if possible, the type of bullet used and compare this with future material found." No wonder Nurkley was White house physician. -e could see into the future and, having seen more tash one wound, he knew only one "type of bullet" had been used. He said, "...it should be a military hospital for security measures". Nobody by George Burkley could have been this wise, to know, instinctively, that with the Fresident already murdered there could be the proper "security measures". Or did he expect the hospital to be raised and the body stolen? Or that there were neither soliders nor sailors nor police anywhere in Washington to make any other hospital "secure" while they were flying a thousand miles to it? His next statement is not in accord with the quotation from him in Bishop, but when we have to chose between Bishop and Burkley, we have two horns and a dilemma: "The question was answered by her stating she wanted the President taken to Bethesda Naval Hospital". Bishop says this was Burkley's idea because the President had been Nevy and, I think because Burkley also was. But, again with no histus and no continuity, "Arrangements were made on the ground for departure to Walter Reed Army Hospital or Bethesda Naval Hospital, as the case may be". Presumeably, this was spontaneous, independent of any communication from the plane.

Eurkhey "assured Mrs. Kennedy at this point (i.e., when she decided that she would accompany the body to Bethesda Naval Hospital") that I would remein with the President until he was returned to the White House". How unusual and overly-dedicated of the President's own, personal, official physician (who thereafter didn't do it, leaving the body while it was at the hospital). He says he was the lest to leave the platform when the casket left the plane, sort of in keeping with the Navy tradition about the captain. She, Bobby and fill were in the back of the ambulance with the body. Burkley the driver, Paul Landis, "rode in the driver(s compartment". At the hospital she was taken to the 17th floor. espite his assurance hy would stay with the President, he didn't; The body was taken to the mortuary where I met it and observed the transfer to the table. The examination was performed by Commander unes and members of his staff. One stop? No Norsys? Finck is on Humes' staff? Or, who besides Boswell of Tumes' staff helped with the "examination"? (No hietus) "Also present were Admiral Kenny, Admiral Callowey, and Captain Canada. General McHugh had remained in the vicinity of the President constantly throughout this time".

Burkley knows his Blue Book, if nothing else, and he is Nevy enough to skip a couple more generals. Te accounts for no others present. But why should he be expected to account for those others present and potential witnesses, or for the taking of X-rays or pictures, the reading of X-rays and their interpretetion, the arrival of Finck, who ordered added X-rays (since conveniently vaporized and officially non-existent) or, indeed, any of the nasty, unpleasant details of the so-called autopsy? Is it not enough that he says, "I made numerous trips to the 17th floor for reassurance to those in that area (perhaps that it was all a bad dream, or that some modern medical miracle would make the murdered President whole and restore him to vibrant life?) and to supply them with some ides of the contemplated departure time". For this "numerous trips" were required? How many times need he tell them the time? Or, didthings come up that necessitated delaying that time? If so, what things? Of course, the phone other used he wouldn't dream of using. For all of this, "Mrs. Kennedy spoke to me in the bedroom of the suite, expressing her appreciation which was greatly valued by me and which I will doing remember." Which is more than Examination he can say of his medical and military functions, neither having in any way been discharged. Or is this the quintessence of the requirements of the President's military physician?

Now he tells how the President was clothed, his hair combed in the usual fashion and his appearance in the casket gave no evidence of the injury he had received. Just like Burkley, "no evidence of the injury he had received". From this official account of the President's official physician, no one would ever know that a major part of the President's head had been blown away. He might, indeed, of died of complications from an ingrown toineil, or parasps a teinted oyster (if not in San Francisco).

Not that he says nothing at all about what happened "during the examination":

"...we received a cell from the 17th floor in regard to Mrs. Kennedy's wedding rungs...This ring personally removed and carried to her on the 17th floor and gave it to her in person."

Here we have all the reason in the world to have medical men who rise to be admirals, all the urgent necessity for an Admiral to be the President's physician.

The body was placed in a "solid schogeny wooden casket" there is no reference to the great time and effort by the morticianso nor is there any indication of what Manchester reports, of the unnatural appearance of the Transform President after reconstruction, the things that appear to be of significance to Murkley medicine) and they went back to the White House, Mrs. JFK, Bobby and Hill again in back.

One urgent deteil remains: "rs.Kennedy wanted his Sr. Christopher's medal presumeably from his wellet, to be included in the casket. "We had a request" fir this the next day, presumeably the same day. In explanation he says,

"The wallet and therest of the clothes had been taken into custody of the Secret Service": Knowing (Sibert-O'Neill report) that the President arrived naked at Bethedda, I do not recell any accounting of the history or handling of his clothing, of any search for evidence, of any precautions against the destruction or alteration of avidence, which is a measure of fare and concern not inferior to that of the Warren Commission.

In some waysthis is the most remarkable single documents in the vast literary quicksend of the Commission and its work: a statement of the President's physician that says absolutely nothing about the number or nature of his wounds, of the personal observations he made, of the autopsy - of any single thing intended to be of significance. And to the Secret Service, the FBI, the President's Commission, this is only right and proper! And, emphasizing, he was never a witness, never consulted, not once asked for the knowledge he had.

Andrew E. Berger's 11/30/63 statement 2pp reached

He was on 4 p.m.-12m shift, we marked Dallas in AF #6970 11:40 a.m., met by Lawson, who sent to TM, where Advance Agent Grant assigned to post.

Heard of shooting from newsman, Sought Stout, in charge, who was then confirming, then saw Burkley and Chief Hendricks. Too them to Parkland in police car. Took post outside emergency room, soon joined by Johnsen. Drain (#5067) soon showed up, showed credentials, said hoover had phoned and sent him. Drain was accompanied by an "unidentified male" who "was a dector friend of Drain's. "Approximately 5 minutes subsequent to the visit of Agent Drain a (sic)unidentified CIA agent, after showing his credentials said he would be available".

He than tell sht stroy of the man they knocked down (but he doesn't say they slugged him), giving time at about 1:30 p.m., when Nurse Nelson had no JFK-connected business in emergency room. Tjis WM 45 yrs, 6'2", 185-190 lbs, gray hair, "shouted that he was a FBI". They "forcibly restrained" him when he tried to go past them without showing credentials, then turned him over to Kellerman. Nelson and Cong. Teague witnesses.

Berger says he drove the ambulance to "ove Field and identifies its live occupants as Kellerman, Atout, Hill and Mrs. JFK. No mention Burkley. In DC Berger escorted LBJ to White House in helicopter.

Statement of Stewart G. Stout, 11/29/63 1 p

Ho was ATSAIC, his detail including Sullimen, Johnson, Perger, Olsson.

At about 12:35 SA Grant told him something had happened to the Pres.

They met Burkley at White House phone. He asked when Pres had been taken.

Switchboard operator (at White House? If so, Burkley's time is way off or the WH knew before Kellerman phoned). After Berger took Burkley, Stout, Grant, Silliman, Johnsen, Olssen followed in police cars.

He places Sullimen, Olssen also in corridor security detail, presumeably at different door(s). Stout went inside emergency room.

The only other thing he says is that he "rode in the front seat of the ambulance carrying his body to the sirport, together with AMAIC Kellerman and SA Berger".

What better reason is there for the total absence of any mention off either the Dresident's injuries or the treatment or any of the comment he may have overheard?

Yet it is the Secret Service that was in charge of the President's security and at that moment the only body with any legal suthority to make any in quiry, other than the Dallas police.

Ts it conceiveable that none of the SS agents made any reports on the extent and character of the injuries they, presumeably, would be investigating? Or that Specter had no curiosity about this? Or any member of the Commission? Or any other member of the staff?

This is the norm?

Kellermen statements - 11/29/63, 4 pp.

Begins with locating occupants first three cars.

his recollection is faulty, understandbly, and has himself turning after the first shot and before "two additional shots rang out". One wonders, with this his 11/29 recollection, why he was later to describe a "flurry".

At the hospital he says Hill placed his cost over the President's head and chest".

Mellerman "accompanied the President to the emergency room (he means into from what follows). His eyes were closed but I could see no visible demage to his face." He left immediately for other responsibilities. Note, however, that there is no reference to whatever damage he did see, and that was at the least the head and whatever prompted Hill to include the President's chest in what he hid (blood is enough, of course).

Tells of phoning White House, securing corridors, etc.

Told of death by Burkley, with suitable allipsis given as i p.m. death.

Not only is there no account of the President's injuries, but he even leaves out the fight over the removal of the body. There is a clear suspicion someone dictated what should be included and what omitted. Naturally, including any account of the wounds could provide later complications.

Told by Rowley at DC sirport that Sibert and O'Neill"had bee assigned to this case and to allow them into the morgue". Gave Rowley 8 p.m. as estimated time of arrival of autos, which suggests search could have been begun much earlier than it was. Kallerman was pointed, "suggested he assign field agents to them to completely go over them ofr any evidence that might be found." Which, no doubt, accounts for why it wasn't done.

At Beth the body was taken "irmediately" to the morgue, with him and greer, who remained in the morgue and viewed the autopsy examinations which were performed by Vice Admiral Gellowey", plus Humes, Finck, Boswell, "together with the Naval Medical Steff.

The plural of examinations is correct. I am willing to believe it is a fair representation to say that Galloway participated in the "examinations", if no one else says so.

SA 'OILeary was there part of the time.

He called Hill down "to view the body and to witness the damage of the gunshot wounds", before the embalming but, alas, after the examination, which required extensive dissection.

At an unspecified time "Prior to out departure I received all film, x-rays, that been used during this autopsy, and upon arrival at the White House I turned them over to SAIV Bouck." There just may be a possible explanation for the missing extremities X-rays here, "that were used during this autopsy". Perhaps these had not bee used there but had, let us say, been examined by the radiologist in his own office. If what Kellerman took is only what was with the body, what the prosectors themselves examined and showed the agents, then the missing X-rays were not there for Kellerman to pick up and take with him.

Minor point: he does not account for how Burkley left the hospital

Kellerman, second statement, 11/30/63, 2 pp

Subject is three lines long, the security measures from Love Field to Bethesda, then to White Bouse.

I also meantions the shift reorganizations, the LBJ security, the trip to the Nevy hosp.

This says the four agents also remained through the meb lming.

This one sTso feils to mention Eurkley'd departure. It is specific in not placing him in the embulance.

What is not clear is way at hed to be written at all.

Clint mill statement, 11/30/63, 6 pp.

his are understanded a errors when he gets to talking about the shooting, i.e., calls houston St. Elm, say he saw the President slum; after the first shot, while he was paining to his right rear, etc.

Quotes Mrs. J.F as saying "They've shot his head off". If accurate, she saw it.

Saw part of right side of head on right rear missing, part of brein gone end blaeding profusely, part of skull, with heir, in seat.

Adso says he cover head and upper chest with his cost, but why upper chest?

he also goes through the business of getting a casket and removing the body with no reference to any dispute, etc.

ne and Landis secured 17th floor at Bathasda, acreened every call, each person attempt ng to reach there.

Places time at which he was called to vire body at about 2:45 a.m., and says "when I arrived the autopsy had been completed". McHugh, also never questioned, never a witness, also viewed wounds. However, this is mbout 3:45 hater than the autopsy is said to have taken. Still thoses wound "six inches down from the neckline".

I doubt the morticiens sould have completed the reconstruction if they did not commence until after 2:45.

Statement of Faul Landis, 11/30/63, 7 pp

Landis is the chit-chart boy of the agents, one with a memory for detail. His statement begins withm detailed recall of the irrelevant, like the color of the coat on the lady who gave Mrs. JFK the roses, the angles of the fence at the direct and the idirection in which they turned, etc.

On page 3 another triviality should be noted on the chance it hold future interest: Dave Powers took movies from inside the car. It might be interesting to k ow how close to Dealey Plaza he took them.

However, he does say that thus he did take in the TSBD and that he saw no one standing in any of the windows.

But because he has been giving all this unessential deteil, I think that he gives on the timing and location of the first shot may be worthwhile. He says both cars had made the turn and were not yet straightened out when "I heard what sounded like the report of a high-powered rifle from behind me, over my right shoulder." Now first of all, the M -C is NOT "high-powered". And second of all, at that point, over Landis's right shoulder is to the east of the TSBD. He again tookin the TSBD and saw nothing.

Interestingly, and confirming his account is this recollection: that in checking to see if there had been a blowout IN FRONT of him, he could see the right from tire on the Fres's cer. This confirms that the cars had not yet straightened out and that the follow-up had to have been further to the right than in a line with the Fres's. And the only reason he Couldn't see the right rear is because the followup car was too close.

He calls the third shot the second he heard and describes it as like the sound of a high-powered bullet going into a give-gallon can when it impacted. He saw flying flesh and blood. He felt the shot came from the right front. He scenned the everpers and the area to the right. He saw a well-describe d Negro running up the knoll before he got under the underposs.

For some reason will found this intelligence unworthy of mention in his own story.

Powers also saw the head wound. Someone told Hill to cover the wound(s).

But no account of the dispute over moving thebody. Is it within weeson that not one of the egents, spontaneously, considered this rather spectacular event worthy of note? Of these statements in the Burkley files, his establishes Landis as the men with the eye for detail and with recall, plus lucidity and a willingness to take time to record things. It seems highly improbable that he on his own omitted this very unpleasant bit. Thus the question of the integrity of the statements is raised, and when it is further considered that they were prepared beginning a week after the tragedy, further suspicion is cast upon the,.

He left the 17th floor but once, to give Kallerman a message from Rowkey. And he also makes no mention of Burkley's departure from the hospital.

Nor does any one of the agents saw who handled the casket at Bethesda, another seemingly-strange omission the only effect of which could be to make it more difficult to find those who could give first-hand testimony, certainly making it less likely there would be interest in them for such a purpose.

Air Firce One Menifest

The last of the 36 names is that of HCMC Ellis H. Mendrix. He gave no deposition or effidavit. A Chief Hendrix is twice mentioned in the foregoing statements, with no expansion. I wonder if he can have been medical corps?

Statement of Winston G. Lawson, undated, 5 pp.

Takes him three pages to get totthe: first shot, which he misdates as coming justbes he was going under underpass. At this time he also saw Hickey standing up with his automatic weapon. Motorcycle policeman pulled up and said JFK had been shot.

As he rushed to emergency room he met attendents coming out with stretchers. The got outside when Connelly was being removed, before he or JFK could have used a stretcher (ro Manchester). He and Powers helped put JFK on stretcher, took him into emergency room.

He makes casual reference to the Medical Examiner 's unwillingness to release the body, but herely in the right context; indicating only that the agreement of the Sheriff was necessary.

to call who EMFORE AF I departed, Drein told him that Shanklin, has said the suspect "who had been arrested fornthe investigation of the killing of a police of ficer that afternoon had worked at the" TSBD. Thus, at some time between 2:15 and 2:47 the word had pasced through so many mouths and ears. Did Drain have the message before he went to the sirport? The the FEI had it much, much earlier. Homem of the statements I recall adount for his getting to the sirport, he just appears there is Lawsons (and Lawson went to DC with the evidence with Drain early the next a.m.) In any event, this is but the briefast time at most after the DFD had Cawald at ha, where he was first identified as the man who had worked at the DF TSBD. He was not picked up until 1:45 and Fritz did not speak to his men until some time after he returned from the TSBD. If Drain had a walkie-talkie that would carry this distance or even if he just happened to pull in in a radio-equipt car, the time is very, very close. And if he had such a radio, why should Lawson have had to use the phone? Is it more than a close coincidence?

CD 5 pages in Burkley file, 18-10; 150-1

Then I first new CE302 in 1964 I knew something was wrong with the first page. It is also wrong that the original was not used. I now have the original but it is not at this early-marning moment convenient to make a comparison. however, the CE302 as-printed version can be compared with the FBI's "verbatim" recopying (CD5:8).

The first page is missing in the "verbetim" FEI copy.

The opening of ODS:8 states that on November 25, C.J.Price savised the death certificate and statement of medical treatment had been taken with them by Eurkley and SS agents and that the summary had been prepared by Kem, Clark and "transmitted" by letter dated 11/23. The FBI left out the covering letter.

Further in not important enough to be a KF. This and the other marked papers in the file are stemped Other Ind & Org., etc.

In rereading the two Harper pages, which I have not exemined with care since discovering them in the apring of 1966, I find several things of possible interest.

One is the it took two days, at least, assuring that with the late bour of the finding of the bone nothing was done 11/25 even though Harper took it to his uncle "immediately". The FRI report is of an 11/23 interview. I doubt the Harpers waited from 11/24 to 11/26 to tell the FRI, although it is possible.

Anderton give the spot the Free was shot on his own suthority, so are we entitled to assume he by then knew? They did have and and studied Zap. However, this location differe from that the the younger Harper, who now seems to place it forthur west, near the manhole of the sewer on the south side of HIM. With all the actibity there within 10 minutes, I am not predisposed not to believe Anderton's locationing.

CD5:151 mekes reference to what is missing here (and I've not seen it any here), the "FBI leboratory letter". Now the report doesn't say, but I believe it is a safe assumption that this letter was addressed to Burkley and should be in his files, as it should have been in this one. I will ask the Archives for it.

If these are all the pages referring to or originating with Burkley, they there was remarkably little. But we know they are not, for conspicuously the receipt he got, in CD371, is not included. With its content, can that also be an accident? I have asked Rhoads if this is the complete file, knowing it is incomplete. The has not responded. I asked for the complete file.

Another thing difficult to believe is that there is here no indication either the FEI or the Secret Service asked Burkley the questions that, obviously, should have been asked of the President's physician and of a medical man not of the hospital staff who was present in the emergency room. Also, one would expect to find his account of what early shaped up as a hairy subject, the kidnapping of the cadaver. This was an open affront to Texas law, an open illegality, and the SS, at least, had to expect some possible consequences. But, neither report nor memo.

While it can be believed that there was simple mechanical error in omitting as ential evidence from this file, it should not have happened and it should not be assumed. There is also this fact: these things appear in no other file I have seen.